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ABSTRACT

Hemibrycon guejarensis, new species, is described from the Güejar River, La Macarena mountain range, upper Guaviare River drainage, Colombia. The new species is distinguished from most cis-Andean congeners (H. jabonero Lake Maracaibo and adjacent Caribbean drainages, H. metae which is found in the Guavio River, some Orinoco and Caribbean River drainages in Venezuela, and H. taeniurus from Trinidad and adjacent mainland drainages in Venezuela) in having ii, 7, i dorsal-fin rays (vs. ii, 8). H. guejarensis is further distinguished from H. taeniurus and H. jabonero, in having a wider second pigment layer in the humeral spot (covering 3 vs. 2 scale widths). H. guejarensis is very similar to H. metae (an allopatic species also present in streams of the Orinoco River Basin in Venezuela and Colombia and some Venezuelan Caribbean drainages). Hemibrycon guejarensis is distinguished by the orientation of the dorsal canal which crosses the humeral spot transversely vs. horizontally across dorsal part of spot in the holotype of H. metae and Orinoco and Caribbean populations. H. guejarensis differs from H. metae in having the tip of the pectoral fin passing the pelvic-fin insertions (vs. pectoral fin tip not reaching pelvic-fin insertions). We identify populations previously considered as Hemibrycon metae from Venezuelan Orinoco River Basin piedmont streams and Caribbean drainages to be instead H. jabonero and H. taeniurus. Careful analysis of these populations indicated that all of them differ from the H. metae holotype and topotypic specimens in pelvic fin and postorbital lengths.
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RESUMEN. 
*Hemibrycon guejarensis*, una nueva especie de pez, se describe del Río Guejar, Serranía de la Macarena, sistema del Río Guaviare, Colombia. La nueva especie se distingue de muchos congéneres Andinos (*H. jabonero* del lago de Maracaibo y adyacentes drenajes del Caribe, *H. metae* la cual se encuentra en el Río Guavio, algunos drenajes del Orinoco y Caribe en Venezuela, y *H. taeniurus* de Trinidad y drenajes adyacentes en Venezuela) en poseer ii,7,i radios en aleta dorsal (vs. ii,8). *H. guejarensis* se distingue también de *H. taeniurus* y *H. jabonero*, en presentar la segunda capa de pigmentos de la mancha humeral ancha (cubre 3 vs. 2 escamas a lo ancho). *H. guejarensis* es muy parecida a *H. metae* (especie alopatrónica proveniente del río Guavio y reconocida en quebradas o caños en la cuenca del Orinoco en Venezuela y Colombia y algunos drenajes del Caribe Venezolano). *Hemibrycon guejarensis* se distingue por la orientación del canal que cruza la mancha humeral transversalmente vs. horizontalmente alrededor de la parte dorsal de la mancha en el holotipo de *H. metae* y poblaciones del Orinoco y Caribe. *H. guejarensis* se distingue de *H. metae* en presentar el extremo de las aletas pectorales que sobre pasan las inserciones de las aletas pélvicas (vs. extremo de la aleta pectoral no alcanzan las inserciones de las aletas pélvicas). Identificamos poblaciones previamente consideradas como *Hemibrycon metae* del piedemonte de la cuenca del Río Orinoco en Venezuela y drenajes del Caribe que se identifican como *H. jabonero* y *H. taeniurus*. Análisis detallado de estas poblaciones indicaron que todas se diferencian del holotipo de *H. metae* y material totopípico en las longitudes postorbital y aletas pélvicas.


INTRODUCTION.

The genus *Hemibrycon* is a group of freshwater characid fish species that are typically found in clear freshwater habitats in the rivers of the Pacific basins in Panama, coastal Caribbean basins in Colombia and Venezuela, the Lake Maracaibo and Orinoco River basins in Venezuela and Colombia, rivers of Trinidad and Tobago, coastal basins of French Guiana and Suriname and upper Amazon River drainages of Bolivia, Colombia, Peru and Ecuador (Bertaco et al., 2007; Bertaco & Malabarba, 2010; García-Alzate et al., 2015; Román-Valencia et al., 2006; 2007; 2014; Román-Valencia & Ruiz-C., 2007). The wide geographic distribution, and unevenly distributed species diversity of *Hemibrycon* requires different explanatory mechanisms and probably is a result of both sympatric and allopatric speciation processes. Recent generic analysis (Bertaco et al., 2010, Thomas et al., 2017) did not adequately address the complex cryptic diversity of the group.

Bertaco & Malabarba (2010) identified *Hemibrycon* populations from small coastal river drainages in the Golfo de Paria, Venezuela as *H. metae* rather than *H. taeniurus* (found on the nearby island of Trinidad). However, those specimens were all small, (<51.3 mm SL), making it difficult to compare body measurements with available (larger) specimens of *H. metae* from the Orinoco River Basin. Based on the identification of those small specimens, they extended the known distribution of *H. metae* to the Caribbean. In this paper we review the populations identified as *Hemibrycon metae* from the Orinoco River Basin and Venezuelan Caribbean drainages and describe one new species from Macarena, Colombia.

MATERIAL AND METHODS.

Measurements and counts follow Román-Valencia et al. (2010), and are presented as percentages of standard length (SL) except for subunits of head which are presented as percentages of head length (HL). The standard length is recorded until the posterior edge of the hypurals. In count ranges, values for the holotype are indicated with an asterisk (*). Counts and measurements were taken on the left side of specimens when possible. Osteological studies were made on cleared and stained specimens (C&S) prepared according to Taylor & Van Dyke (1985) and Song & Parenti (1995). Bone nomenclature follows Weitzman (1962), Vari (1995), and Ruiz-C. & Román-Valencia (2006). Specimens are deposited in the Auburn University Museum Fish Collection, Auburn, Alabama (AUM), and the Ichthyology Laboratory at the Universidad del Quindío, Armenia, Colombia (IUQ). In the lists of paratypes, the number of individuals is given in parentheses immediately after the catalog number. Institutional acronyms for comparative material follow Sabaj-Perez (2016). Humeral spot pigmentation configurations use the terminology proposed by Román-Valencia et al. (2015) and Ruiz et al. (2018).

MATERIAL EXAMINED.

*Hemibrycon beni*: All from Bolivia (see Arcila-M., 2008). UMSS 09585, 18, 35.2-82.6 mm SL, Amazonas/Madera/Beni/Bopo, rio Pekheikhara, Imanblaya;
RESULTS

Analysis of nominal *H. metae* populations from Orinoco and Venezuelan Caribbean drainages.

Review of the populations of *Hemibrycon metae* from the Orinoco River Basin and Caribbean drainages in Venezuela indicated that these do not coincide with the holotype characters of *H. metae* (CAS 23727). In this sense, the populations of these drainages showed more affinity with other species (*H. jabonero* and *H. taeniurus*) present in the area (Caribbean and Orinoco), than with the holotype of *H. metae* which is from piedmont streams of the southern flank of the Andean Orinoco River Basin in Colombia (at 300-400 masl). It was found that individuals from populations identified as *H. metae* (Orinoco and Venezuelan Caribbean) are not similar in morphometric measurements, but although there is high overlap of these characters among the populations, they can be distinguished from the holotype and toptotypic material of true *H. metae*, see Table 1.

Morphometric characters (Table 1) such as pelvic-fin length (greater than 20% vs. less than 18%SL) and postorbital length (48.5 %HL vs. less than 47% HL), show significant differences among populations of nominal *H. metae* and its holotype (Permanova: F = 16.58; P = 0.0001, Table 2). We find that true *H. metae* (based on examination of the holotype) differs from these populations in some morphological characters and also some osteological characters such as the length of the maxilla, which reaches the posterior edge of the second infraorbital (vs. ventral end of the maxilla not reaching a vertical through the posterior edge of the second infraorbital), second infraorbital short, not extending to between the maxilla and the third infraorbital (vs. second infraorbital extending to between the maxilla and the third infraorbital), area preventral angle (vs. arched, Fig 2).

Discriminant analysis indicated that 85.21% of divergence of these populations from the holotype of *Hemibrycon metae* was explained by the first two eigenvalues and revealed additional independent populations that have not yet been named (Fig. 1). Morphometric characters (Table 1), such as pelvic fin length (greater than 20 vs. less than 18% SL) and postorbital length (48.5 vs. less than 47% HL), show significant differences between these populations of nominal *H. metae* when compared with its holotype (Permanova: F = 16.58, P = 0.0001, Table 2). And so, to further recognize some of the cryptic diversity within nominal *Hemibrycon metae*, we describe below as new the population occurring in the upper Guaviare River drainage.

**Hemibrycon guejarensis** n. sp.

(Table 1-2; Figs. 1-4)

**Holotype.** IUQ 2484, female, 78.33 mm SL; Colombia: Meta: Vista Hermosa County, Buenavista village, Orinoco River basin, Guaviare River drainage, Blanco River system, Pringamosal Creek, 3°07’01”N 73°52’19”W, 384 masl; C. Román-Valencia & C. García A., 12 Jan 2009.

**Paratypes.** All from Colombia: Meta: Vista Hermosa County, Orinoco River basin, Guaviare River drainage: AUM 52888, 2, 40.8-49.3 mm SL; IUQ 2485, 24, 32.5-64.4 mm SL; IUQ 2483, 2 females C&S, 53.4-56.4 mm SL; same data as holotype. IUQ 2486, 4, 59.0-67.1 mm SL; Guadualito Creek on La Palma farm, Buenavista village, 3°05’44”N 73°52’35”W, 456 masl; C. Román-Valencia, R. Ruiz C., C. Garcia A., 16 Jan 2009. IUQ 2487, 20, 27.8-60.6 mm SL; Guadualito Creek, Buenavista village, 3°05’34”N 73°51’53”W, 384 masl; C. Román-Valencia, R. Ruiz C., C. Garcia A., 12 Jan 2009. IUQ 2488, 8, 26.2-43.5 mm SL; Cota 400 Creek, Buenavista village, 3°06’03”N 73°51’57”W, 389 masl; C. Román-Valencia, R. Ruiz-C., C. Garcia A., 13 Jan 2009. IUQ 2490, 9, 33.4-45.9 mm SL; Pringamosal Creek, 500 m north of school La Palestina, Palestina village; C. Román-Valencia, R. Ruiz-C., C. Garcia A., 9 Jan 2009. IUQ 2497, 5, 34.9-56.7 mm SL; Pringamosal Creek, 500 m upstream from school in La Palestina, Palestina village; C. Román-Valencia, R. Ruiz-C., C. Garcia A., 9 Jan 2009. IUQ 2567, 55, 34.3-62.8 mm SL; Guadualito Creek, Buenavista village, 3°05’39”N 73°51’55”W,
Diagnosis.

Hemibrycon guejarensis n. sp. (Fig. 3), is distinguished from most cis-Andean congeners in having ii, 7, i dorsal-fin rays (vs. ii, 8), except H. taeniurus from Trinidad Island and H. jabonero from the Lake Maracaibo basin and adjacent Caribbean drainages, from which it differs in having a circular humeral spot, which originates in the second layer of pigment or pigment layer 2) (vs. humeral spot vertically elongate). In Hemibrycon guejarensis, as in other Hemibrycon species, a short branch of the canal arises at the origin of the lateral-line canal, and then crosses the humeral spot, located on the scale series just above the lateral-line canal series, the orientation of this short branch of the lateral-line systems is as follows: in the holotype of H. metae the canal is horizontal and crosses the upper part of the humeral spot; in H. guejarensis and populations from the Orinoco River Basin and Venezuelan Caribbean drainages the canal crosses the lower part of the humeral spot transversely. Also, H. guejarensis further differs from true H. metae and other nominal populations in having a longer pectoral fin: pectoral-fin tip surpassing pelvic fin insertions vs. not reaching insertions.

Description. Morphometric and meristic data in Table 1. Body slender and elongate (mean maximum body depth 22.8% SL). Area between orbits flat. Dorsal profile of head straight to slightly concave, then slightly convex from supraoccipital to dorsal-fin origin and from last dorsal-fin ray to caudal peduncle, then straight to base of caudal fin. Ventral profile of body convex from snout to base of anal fin, straight and oblique along anal-fin base. Caudal peduncle laterally compressed. Head and snout short, jaws equal; mouth terminal, lips soft and flexible, not covering outer row of premaxillary teeth; ventral border of upper jaw straight; posterior edge of maxilla reaching anterior edge of orbit; opening of posterior nostrils vertically ovoid; opening of anterior nostrils with membranous flap.

Premaxilla short and rounded, with two rows of teeth, five teeth of outer row tricuspid, internal row with four pentacuspid teeth diminishing gradually in size laterally. Maxilla extending beneath second infraorbital, with 8-9 tricuspid teeth in a series not reaching anteroventral margin of that bone. Dentary teeth nearest symphyses largest, pentacuspid, following anterolateral teeth tricuspid, posterior-most teeth unicuspid.

Lateral line complete, perforated scales 42-50 (47* mean = 45, n = 125). Scale rows between dorsal-fin origin and lateral line 6-9 (7*, mean = 7.1, n = 125); scale rows between lateral line and anal-fin origin 6-8 (7* mean = 6.9, n = 125); scale rows between lateral line and pelvic-fin insertion 6-7, (7*, mean = 6.9, n = 125). Predorsal scales 12-15, arranged in regular series (13*, mean = 13, n = 125). Anal-fin rays iii-iv, 26-30 (iii, 28*; n = 125). Anal-fin origin posterior to vertical through base of first dorsal-fin ray. Pectoral-fin rays ii, 9-12 (*ii, 10, n = 125). Pelvic-fin rays ii, 6* (n = 125); dorsal-fin rays ii, 7, i; in both fins last ray simple; first unbranched ray approximately one-half length of second ray, its tip reaching first bifurcation of first branched ray. Pelvic-fin origin anterior to vertical through dorsal-fin origin. Caudal fin not covered with scales, forked with short pointed lobes. Adipose fin present. Total number of vertebrae 39-40 (n=4).

Six infraorbitals; first infraorbital extending over dorsal surface of maxilla, bearing laterosensory canal pores, its anterior portion has short process with blunt tip, that does not extend towards antorbital, postero-oral margin not modified by the extension of the anterior process of second infraorbital. Supraorbital absent.

Seven or eight supraneurals between head and first proximal pterygiophores of dorsal fin, without cartilage on upper and lower margins. Eight or nine neural spines between Weberian apparatus and first proximal pterygiophores, completely ossified no cartilage between pterygiophores. Cartilage absent on union of scapular with internal surface of supracleithrum. Four proximal radials, third postcleithrum with small laminar lateral process on medial surface. Pelvic bone short, straight, blunt with cartilage at anterior tip. Pelvic bone ischial process without cartilage. Fifth and
sixth hypurals united, posterior margin of hypurals without cartilage. Medial and proximal pterygiophores of first four anal-fin rays fused.

**Pigmentation pattern in alcohol.** Body dark brownish-yellow, chromatophores more densely concentrated on dorsum, most intense on head. Midlateral body with silver stripe from anterior margin of humeral spot to anterior part of the caudal peduncle and caudal spot prolonged on to middle caudal-fin rays. Humeral spot with two layers of pigment, which are independent of each other and differ in degrees of development and structure (Fig 2 and 5). The humeral spot extends over four horizontal scales of the lateral-line canal and two below it, the spot consists of two layers of pigment, one not very conspicuous that extends vertically that ventrally crosses the lateral-line canal and a second configuration of that is very conspicuous and circular with more concentrated pigments, that has an irregular edge and covers three scales vertically and one horizontally, these together generate the aspect of a rhomboidal shape, located just behind the margin posterior of the operculum. Ventral part of body light yellow. Posterior margin of scales on dorsal region of body dark. Dorsal fin without strong concentration of chromatophores along distal margin. Adipose fin dark. Caudal fin with dark chromatophores on middle rays. Anal, pectoral and pelvic fins as well as caudal-fin lobes hyaline.

**Color in life.** Adults counter-shaded and with silvery lateral stripe highlighted in iridescent yellowish-green, more conspicuous along the dorsal margin of the stripe; dorsal and ventral borders of stripe reddish on caudal peduncle. Dorsal margin of opercle anterior to lateral stripe intense yellow. Dorsal margin of eye yellow, similar to the color of the anterior lateral stripe borders. Infraorbital along posterior margin of orbit violet, this color extending along dorsal half of opercle. Head beneath orbit intense blue, circumscribing the third infraorbital. Scales on sides of body without melanophores, giving it a whitish or silvery appearance. Dorsal region dark green. Wide dark humeral spot, conspicuous beneath silvery lateral stripe, extending over it but with less intensity. Posterior part of caudal peduncle with dark midlateral stripe that extends onto middle caudal-fin rays. Lower caudal fin lobe and tips of both lobes red. Pectoral and pelvic fins hyaline, anal and dorsal fins with a reddish bar crossing middle parts of rays, more notable in males, distal tips of dorsal and caudal fins dark.

**Secondary sexual dimorphism.** Males have hooks on the anal and pelvic-fin rays. There are 12 to 15 hooks located on the middle and distal portions of unbranched and first to eighth branched anal-fin rays. All branched pelvic-fin rays have 19 to 22 small hooks all along ray’s length. There are small, poorly developed hooks on the extreme distal portions of the pectoral-fin rays.

**Etymology.** The name *guejarensis*, n.sp. refers to the Güéjar River Basin in Meta Department, Serranía de La Macarena, Colombia, where the type series was collected.

**Distribution and habitat.** This species is known only from the Güéjar River Basin in Meta Department, Serranía de La Macarena, Guaviare River drainage, Orinoco River Basin in Colombia (Fig. 3). *Hemibrycon guejarensis* was collected along shore over sandy substrates in tributaries with flow. The transparency of the tea colored water was usually high, even after rain. The pH was usually around neutral (7.0-7.5), dissolved oxygen and percent saturation of oxygen values were high. The new taxon is syntopic with *Astyanax* n. sp. (bimaculatus group), *Bryconamericanus macarenae* (Román-Valencia et al., 2010c), *Creagrus maculosus* (Román-Valencia et al., 2010b), *Characidium* cf. zebra and *Hemigrammus barrigonae* (Eigenmann & Henn, 1914).

**DISCUSSION.**

The Orinoco River Basin encompasses an enormous and heterogeneous system of freshwater ecosystems including streams draining the Andes Mountains, piedmont, vast savannahs and floodplains, and several rivers draining the Guyana Shield. So a great diversity of aquatic habitats can be found there (Lasso et al., 2016). These conditions have apparently favored development of cryptic species in some genera of Characidae, such as *Astyanax* (Garutti 2003), *Bryconops* (Machado-Allison et al. 1996) or *Moenkhausia* (Marinho and Langeani 2010), and to give just a few examples of endemic species described from within taxa previously considered to have widespread distributions. Western Caribbean drainages in Venezuela have similar fish faunas to that of the Lake Maracaibo Basin. Caribbean drainages east of the Paraguana Peninsula have several narrowly endemic species but in the Unare River Basin and eastward, the similarity with the Orinoco River Basin fish fauna increases...
Populations of *Hemibrycon* can be found in the coastal rivers where conditions of piedmont and mountain streams are permanent (much of the Venezuelan coast is an arid desert with only season flow in streams). As more specimens and tissue samples for DNA analysis become available, we expect more and more local populations with be recognized as distinct, as we do here for the upper Guaviare River populations of what was previously considered nominal *H. metae*. The Guaviare River seems to be a particularly diverse transition zone between the Orinoco River Basin and adjacent Amazon River tributaries, with several species found there that are unknown in other regions of the Orinoco Basin; for example *Chaetostoma joropo* Ballen 2011 and *Chrysobrycon guahibo* Vanegas-Ríos et al. 2015. The recognition of the ichthyological richness of the Orinoco River Basin is essential for promoting its conservation and sustainable use, and is the basis for the evaluation of the threats faced there now and in the future (Lasso et al., 2016).

We consider populations of *Hemibrycon metae* from the Venezuelan Orinoco River Basin piedmont streams and Caribbean drainages to be instead either *H. jabonero* or *H. taeniurus* (see material examined, table 1, figs. 1-4). The analysis of these populations indicated that all of them differ from true *H. metae* (holotype and topotypes) in relation to the length of the pelvic fin and the postorbital length (Table 1). These identifications differ from those of Bertaco & Malabarba (2010), who did not consider all the measurements used in the literature, particularly the postorbital region, and who reported relatively shorter pelvic fin lengths than those we measured. Our analyses indicate that there is still unrecognized diversity of the species of *Hemibrycon* present in Caribbean streams.

Although *Hemibrycon* in the Orinoco River Basin are all morphologically very similar, cranial characters and fin lengths were the most divergent based on univariate analyses. Bertaco & Malabarba (2010) reported a wide range of values for these characters, but we found that some of these populations are not *H. metae* but rather *H. jabonero* (which was not explicitly included in their analysis). While we do identify *H. metae* present in both Caribbean and Orinoco River basin drainages, they are not common, and not nearly as abundant as *H. jabonero* which is found throughout the basin. The values given for *H. metae* by Bertaco & Malabarba (2010) include specimens we identify as *H. jabonero*, since the two species are sympatric (see material examined).

*Hemibrycon guajarenisis* n. sp. has a red spot on the ventral portion of the caudal peduncle in life. This characteristic has also been observed in several other species of *Hemibrycon* (Bertaco et al., 2007; Garcia-Alzate et al., 2015; Román-Valencia et al., 2010 a; b; 2014), leading us to infer that it represents a synapomorphy for the genus. However, *H. iqueima* (Garcia-Melo et al., 2018) does not present this character and even the model of pigmentation and osteological and tooth characters that we have observed in most *Hemibrycon* species.

Thomaz et al. (2015) discussed the possibility that species previously considered as *Bryconamericus* from Colombia, should instead be tentatively recognized as members of the genus *Hemibrycon*, based mainly on a molecular phylogeny. We question the identification of voucher or control specimens, for *B. caucasus*, *H. boquiae*, *H. brevispinni*, *H. jabonero*; such is the case of *H. jabonero* is distributed on the Caribbean coast of Venezuela (see results of this paper), not from the Magdalena River Basin in Colombia: the voucher ANSP 188918 (7404-7405 and 7410) identified as *H. jabonero* in Tomaz et al. (2015), corresponds to *H. antioquia* (Román-Valencia et al., 2013). *H. jabonero* was not included in the study of cis-Andean species (Bertaco & Malabarba, 2010).
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. Discriminant analysis of morphological data for: *H. metae*, *H. guejarensis* n. sp., *Hemibrycon* sp., *Hemibrycon jabonero* and *H. taeniurus*.
Fig. 2. Distribution of pigment in the humeral region of *Hemibrycon* species. **A.** *H. metae* (Holotype), **B.** *H. metae*, **C.** *H. guejarensis* n. sp., **D.** *H. taeniurus*, **E.** *H. jabonero.*
**Fig. 3.** *Hemibrycon guejarensis* n. sp., IUQ 2484, 78.33 mm SL; female, Meta, Vista Hermosa County, Buenavista village, Orinoco River Basin, Colombia. Scale = 1 cm.

**Fig. 4** Distribution of *Hemibrycon guejarensis* n. sp. (●), *H. metae* (●●), *H. jabonero* (■) and *H. taeniurus* ▼.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Morphometric and meristic data of <em>Hemibrycon</em> species for the Orinoco of Colombia and Venezuela and Venezuelan Caribbean. Lengths in mm. Averages in parentheses.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>H. metae</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percentage of standard length</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Depth of the body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Prepelvic distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Preanal distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Prepectoral distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Predorsal distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Dorsal-fin-pelvic distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Dorsal-anal distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Dorsal-pectoral distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Caudal peduncle depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Caudal peduncle length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Pectoral fin length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Pelvic fin length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Dorsal fin length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Anal fin length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Head length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percentage of head length</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Postorbital length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Snout length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Inter-orbital width</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Diameter of the eye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Maxillary length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Upper jaw length</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Permanova data from *Hemibrycon* populations present in the Orinoco and the Venezuelan Caribbean

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><em>H. guejarensis</em> n. sp.</th>
<th><em>H. metae</em> (Holotype)</th>
<th><em>H. metae</em></th>
<th><em>Hemibrycon</em> sp.</th>
<th><em>H. jabonero</em></th>
<th><em>H. taeniurus</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>H. guejarensis</em> n. sp.</td>
<td>0.0193</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. metae</em> (Holotype)</td>
<td>0.0193</td>
<td>0.0168</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.0671</td>
<td>0.1248</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. metae</em></td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0168</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemibrycon</em> sp.</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. jabonero</em></td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0671</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. taeniurus</em></td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.1248</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>